

Continuum of Care "HEARTH-Readiness" Checkup Report

CoC Lead Self-Assessment Results

Assesment Date: November 2011 - January 2012

Respondent Types	Total Number
CoC Lead	1
HMIS Lead	1
HPRP/ESG	4
Stakeholder - Provider	4
Stakeholder - Consumer	0
Stakeholder - Other	4
Total number of respondents completing self-assessment	14

Part I - Summary Report

Domain I: CoC Governance and Structure		
Question No.	5 Highest Scoring Indicators	Mean
1.4	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group has a formal, fair, and transparent process for governing the CoC and making decisions.	
1.4.12.3	The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner	4.7
1.3	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group and related committees/subcommittees/working groups have active and diverse membership	
1.3.1.1	CoC housing and service providers	4.7
1.4	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group has a formal, fair, and transparent process for governing the CoC and making decisions.	
1.4.6.4	The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner	4.5
1.2	Element: The CoC has a governing structure to oversee the CoC, including CoC planning, infrastructure, and CoC projects.	
1.2.7.1	Committee/sub-committee/working group roles and responsibilities are well-defined and understood by CoC stakeholders.	4.5

1.2.6	The CoC has a fair and transparent process for selecting members of the primary decision-making group.	4.3
1.3	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group and related committees/subcommittees/working groups have active and diverse membership	
1.3.1.7	U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)	4.3

Domain I: CoC Governance and Structure		
Question No.	5 Lowest Scoring Indicators	Mean
1.3	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group and related committees/subcommittees/working groups have active and diverse membership	
1.3.1.14	Landlords	2.5
1.3.1.13	Private businesses	2.7
1.3.1.20	Philanthropic community	2.7
1.3.1.17	Legal service providers	3.3
1.3.1.12	Local government(s)	3.4
1.3.1.21	Other public and private service providers	3.4

Domain II: CoC Plan and Planning Process		
Question No.	5 Highest Scoring Indicators	Mean
2.1	Element: The CoC has a strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness in the CoC and the plan provides direction for the CoC.	
2.1.1.2	The CoC strategic plan is consistent with CoC mission/vision	4.7
2.1.1.14.2	Performance indicators/targets include outcome-based targets reflecting client, project, and system change vs. activities/outputs	4.7
2.1.1.14.3	Performance targets are measurable	4.7
2.1.1.7	The plan incorporates local ESG/HPRP resources to accomplish CoC goals/objectives	4.5
2.1.1.4	The plan is informed by review of local HMIS, PIT, and other data.	4.4
2.2	Element: The CoC has an inclusive and transparent process for development of and/or periodic updating of the CoC strategic plan	

2.2.1.1	The planning process is inclusive and involves key CoC and community stakeholders, including government representatives, business leaders, the philanthropic community, etc.	4.4
2.1	Element: The CoC has a strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness in the CoC and the plan provides direction for the CoC.	
2.1.1.6	The plan is consistent with the Federal Strategic Plan to prevent and end homelessness (“Opening Doors”)	4.3
2.1.1.14.1	Performance targets meet or exceed HUD’s national goals/objectives for HUD funded CoC projects	4.3
2.1.1.15	The plan is recognized and supported by CoC stakeholders.	4.3

Domain II: CoC Plan and Planning Process		
Question No.	5 Lowest Scoring Indicators	Mean
2.1	Element: The CoC has a strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness in the CoC and the plan provides direction for the CoC.	
2.1.1.11	Local discharge plans/policies established by healthcare system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.	3.0
2.1.1.12	Local discharge plans/policies established by mental health system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.	3.3
2.1.1.10	Local discharge plans/policies established by foster care system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.	3.3
2.1.1.13	Local discharge plans/policies established by corrections system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.	3.5
2.1.1.5	The plan incorporates best practices or evidence-based practices that successfully prevent and end homelessness	3.7

Domain III: CoC Infrastructure and Administrative Capacity		
Question No.	5 Highest Scoring Indicators	Mean
3.3	Element: Information Management Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the HMIS responsibilities of the CoC.	

3.3.20	The HMIS can generate system level data for the AHAR, per HUD reporting specifications.	5.0
3.3.21	The HMIS can generate system level data for the Homeless Pulse Report, per HUD reporting specifications.	5.0
3.3.5.3	The HMIS lead agency reports or otherwise informs the CoC lead decision-making group of data quality concerns.	4.9
3.3.13	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to APR's.	4.7
3.3.12	CoC participating projects adhere to the Privacy and Security standards of the 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.	4.7
3.3.17	Data from the HMIS is generally useful and reliable for the CoC and participating agencies.	4.6
3.3.18	The HMIS can generate reports for use in monitoring and evaluating system and project performance.	4.6

Domain III: CoC Infrastructure and Administrative Capacity		
Question No.	5 Lowest Scoring Indicators	Mean
3.1	Element: Administrative Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the administrative responsibilities of the CoC.	
3.1.2	The CoC has sufficient staffing (paid or volunteer) to manage the regular administrative tasks of the CoC, relative to the scope and scale of CoC funding and commitments.	2.8
3.2	Element: Fiscal Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the fiscal responsibilities of the CoC.	
3.2.4	In the last three years HUD-funded project sponsors have been able to obligate/expend funds and complete projects within specified timelines (i.e., no funds have been recaptured).	3.1
3.1	Element: Administrative Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the administrative responsibilities of the CoC.	
3.1.4	The CoC has capacity, policies, and procedures in place to monitor and ensure that HUD-funded projects are operating in accordance with HUD provisions and the project design set forth in the application.	3.6

3.1.5	The CoC seeks to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, HUD-funded project sponsors involve individuals and families experiencing homelessness through employment, volunteer services, or otherwise, in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and	3.6
3.3	Element: Information Management Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the HMIS responsibilities of the CoC.	
3.3.6	The CoC primary decision-making group provides ongoing management of and guidance to the HMIS lead agency through a data subcommittee or other formal mechanism.	3.7

Domain IV: CoC Housing and Services		
Question No.	5 Highest Scoring Indicators	Mean
4.3	Element: People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community have access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community.	
4.3.2.8	Other state/locally funded services for Veterans	4.3
4.4	Element: The CoC as a whole has sufficient knowledge and capacity to provide housing and services.	
4.4.3	CoC projects typically meet or exceed HUD's national performance goals/objectives and local goals/objectives.	4.2
4.3	Element: People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community have access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community.	
4.3.2.16	Domestic violence services	4.1
4.3.2.3	Food Stamps/Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP)	4.1
4.1	Element: The housing and services available in the community(ies) served by the CoC are accessible by persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness and are sufficient and effective at preventing and ending homelessness.	
4.1.6.5	Temporary shelter is decent, safe and sanitary.	4.0
4.1.8.4	PSH providers utilize Medicaid to pay for Medicaid eligible services for residents receiving Medicaid benefits.	4.0
4.3	Element: People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community have access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community.	
4.3.2.6	Veterans Affairs (VA) general assistance (Medical Benefits, Cash Assistance)	4.0

Domain IV: CoC Housing and Services		
Question No.	5 Lowest Scoring Indicators	Mean
4.2	Element: The CoC functions as an integrated system of housing and services.	
4.2.3.2	The CoC has a central point of contact/triage for persons experiencing a housing crisis.	2.2
4.2.4	The CoC has an affordable housing database and/or housing locator staff to assist persons across CoC projects with housing search and placement, landlord/tenant mediation, etc.,	2.4
4.1	Element: The housing and services available in the community(ies) served by the CoC are accessible by persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness and are sufficient and effective at preventing and ending homelessness.	
4.1.1.3	Persons most at-risk of literal homelessness can easily navigate and access homelessness prevention assistance across the CoC.	2.5
4.2	Element: The CoC functions as an integrated system of housing and services.	
4.2.3.3	The CoC uses a vulnerability assessment or similar assessment tool/process to identify and prioritize persons who are homeless and disabled for permanent supportive housing and/or other appropriate assistance.	2.5
4.1	Element: The housing and services available in the community(ies) served by the CoC are accessible by persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness and are sufficient and effective at preventing and ending homelessness.	
4.1.5	Local discharge practices of the corrections system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.	2.6
4.1.7.2	Persons experiencing homelessness can easily navigate and access a range of re-housing and housing stabilization assistance across the CoC.	2.6
4.1.4	Local discharge practices of the mental health system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.	2.6

Part II - Detail Report

Domain I: CoC Governance and Structure	
Question No.	

1.1	Element: The CoC has a clear direction and purpose.																
1.1.1	The CoC has a written vision, mission and/or purpose statement																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>11 (78.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	11 (78.6%)	No	1 (7.1%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	11 (78.6%)																
No	1 (7.1%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
1.1.1.1	The vision/mission/purpose statement clearly states the purpose of the CoC.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>7 (63.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	7 (63.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (27.3%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)	Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	7 (63.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (27.3%)																
Unknown	1 (9.1%)																
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
1.1.1.2	The vision/mission/purpose statement is understood and supported by CoC stakeholders.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>4 (36.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (36.4%)	4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (27.3%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)	Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (36.4%)																
4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (27.3%)																
Unknown	1 (9.1%)																
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3																	

1.1.1.3	The vision/mission/purpose is periodically reviewed and updated.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 220 1965 402"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 220 1734 269">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 220 1965 269">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 269 1734 318">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 269 1965 318">6 (54.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 318 1734 367">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 318 1965 367">4 (36.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 367 1734 402">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 367 1965 402">1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	6 (54.5%)	No	4 (36.4%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	6 (54.5%)								
No	4 (36.4%)								
Unknown	1 (9.1%)								
	<p>Element Comments: Some committees are well-defined and understood, but some are not.</p> <p>The Iowa Council on Homelessness acts as the CofC for the state of Iowa. It has working committees and a strong executive branch. The CoC has been moving in a very positive direction over the past two years. There is a strong governing structure that expects people to participate in the process.</p> <p>The structure of our local CoC group is adequate, though the division of members as either non-voting or voting has been a source of contention from the beginning. In an attempt to recruit as broad a base of representation as possible, the Coalition reached out in a broad fashion, but limited voting membership to those who are homeless (or formerly homeless), service providers, emergency shelters, transitional housing providers, family and domestic violence providers, local businesses and community-based organizations. In practice, however, only a single individual from an actual business -- a bank -- was included in the voting membership. I personally feel that the structure assured that a competitive status-quo was inevitable with this structure because the same players who had previously competed for funding were still making all the decisions, and for the most part, making decisions based on what worked best for their individual organization rather than the population that would potentially be served through the CoC.</p>								
1.2	Element: The CoC has a governing structure to oversee the CoC, including CoC planning, infrastructure, and CoC projects.								
1.2.1	The CoC has a written document describing the roles and responsibilities of the primary decision-making group.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1458 1965 1500"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1458 1734 1500">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1458 1965 1500">Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total						
Rating Scale	Total								

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>10 (71.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	10 (71.4%)	No	1 (7.1%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)										
Yes	10 (71.4%)																
No	1 (7.1%)																
Unknown	3 (21.4%)																
1.2.2	The CoC primary decision-making group has a fair and transparent process for selecting CoC administrative agent, fiscal agent and/or UFA (as applicable), and HMIS lead.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>6 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)	4 = Agree	3 (21.4%)	5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)	Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Agree	3 (21.4%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)																
Unknown	3 (21.4%)																
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
1.2.3	The CoC primary decision-making group provides adequate oversight of CoC administration and infrastructure, including CoC staff (administrative and fiscal) and HMIS.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>6 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)	4 = Agree	6 (42.9%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (21.4%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
4 = Agree	6 (42.9%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (21.4%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
1.2.4	The CoC primary decision-making group has a written agreement with administrative agent/entity employing CoC administrative staff outlining roles and responsibilities of CoC administrative staff.																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	2 (33.3%)	No	2 (33.3%)	Unknown	2 (33.3%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	2 (33.3%)																
No	2 (33.3%)																
Unknown	2 (33.3%)																
1.2.5	The CoC primary decision-making group has a written agreement with HMIS lead agency outlining roles and responsibilities of HMIS lead agency.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	3 (50.0%)	No	2 (33.3%)	Unknown	1 (16.7%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	3 (50.0%)																
No	2 (33.3%)																
Unknown	1 (16.7%)																
1.2.6	The CoC has a fair and transparent process for selecting members of the primary decision-making group.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>6 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	5 (35.7%)	5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	5 (35.7%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5																	
1.2.7	The CoC has committees, sub-committees, and/or working groups to accomplish CoC goals and management.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>11 (78.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	11 (78.6%)	No	1 (7.1%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)										
Yes	11 (78.6%)																
No	1 (7.1%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
1.2.7.1	Committee/sub-committee/working group roles and responsibilities are well-defined and understood by CoC stakeholders.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>6 (54.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>5 (45.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	6 (54.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	5 (45.5%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	6 (54.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (45.5%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
	<p>Element Comments: CoC membership includes a variety of representatives from across the state.</p> <p>The state of Iowa meets many of the criteria above. However, some of those sub groups could be more active within the CoC and the Council to help development.</p> <p>When the CoC was initially re-organized most of the stakeholders listed above were included among those invited. Then the issue of voting member vs non-voting member emerged. It ultimately consumed several meetings, and by the time it was over, the service providers were by far the majority. As time went along, many of those with only limited involvement drifted away. A clear mistake was not implementing an action plan initially that involved every single person in some sort of activity that would keep them connected to an achievable outcome.</p> <p>A</p>																
1.3	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group and related committees/subcommittees/working groups have active and diverse membership																
1.3.1	Stakeholders participating in CoC governance include representatives from:																

1.3.1.1	CoC housing and service providers														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 224 1965 535"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>10 (76.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)	5 = Sufficient	10 (76.9%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)														
5 = Sufficient	10 (76.9%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.3.1.2	Mental health service providers														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 760 1965 1071"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	4 (30.8%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)	5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
3 = Neutral	4 (30.8%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)														
5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.3.1.3	Substance abuse service providers														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1295 1965 1515"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)				
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)														

5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.4 Physical health service providers

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	4 (30.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.5 Justice/Corrections

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	6 (46.2%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.6 Education (K-12)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
---------------------	--------------

1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	6 (46.2%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.7 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)
5 = Sufficient	7 (53.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.8 Workforce development/employment assistance providers

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)
5 = Sufficient	7 (53.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.9	Youth/foster-care providers														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 224 1969 539"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 224 1734 272">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 224 1969 272">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 272 1734 321">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 272 1969 321">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 321 1734 370">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 321 1969 370">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 370 1734 418">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 370 1969 418">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 418 1734 467">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 418 1969 467">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 467 1734 516">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 467 1969 516">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 516 1734 539">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 516 1969 539">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="327 539 1969 630" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)	5 = Sufficient	4 (30.8%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)														
5 = Sufficient	4 (30.8%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.3.1.10	Domestic violence providers														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 760 1969 1075"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 760 1734 808">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 760 1969 808">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 808 1734 857">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 808 1969 857">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 857 1734 906">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 857 1969 906">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 906 1734 954">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 906 1969 954">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 954 1734 1003">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 954 1969 1003">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1003 1734 1052">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1003 1969 1052">8 (61.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1052 1734 1075">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1052 1969 1075">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="327 1075 1969 1166" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)	5 = Sufficient	8 (61.5%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)														
5 = Sufficient	8 (61.5%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.3.1.11	Consumers (persons who are homeless and/or formerly homeless)														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1295 1969 1518"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1295 1734 1344">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1295 1969 1344">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1344 1734 1393">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1344 1969 1393">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1393 1734 1442">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1393 1969 1442">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1442 1734 1490">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1442 1969 1490">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1490 1734 1518">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1490 1969 1518">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)				
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)														
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)														

5 = Sufficient	8 (61.5%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.2 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.12

Local government(s)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	4 (30.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.4 Median: 3.5 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.13

Private businesses

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	4 (30.8%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.7 Median: 2.5 Mode: 1	

1.3.1.14

Landlords

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
---------------------	--------------

1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)
3 = Neutral	3 (23.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.5 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2,3	

1.3.1.15 Public Housing Authority(ies)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.16 ESG/HPRP Grantee(s)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)
5 = Sufficient	7 (53.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.1 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.17	Legal service providers																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 224 1967 630"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 224 1732 267">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 224 1967 267">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 267 1732 311">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 267 1967 311">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 311 1732 355">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 311 1967 355">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 355 1732 399">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 355 1967 399">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 399 1732 443">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 399 1967 443">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 443 1732 487">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 443 1967 487">5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 487 1732 531">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 487 1967 531">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 531 1967 630" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)																
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 5																	
1.3.1.18	Faith-based organizations																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 755 1967 1161"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 755 1732 799">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 755 1967 799">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 799 1732 842">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 799 1967 842">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 842 1732 886">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 842 1967 886">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 886 1732 930">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 886 1967 930">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 930 1732 974">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 930 1967 974">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 974 1732 1018">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 974 1967 1018">5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1018 1732 1062">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1018 1967 1062">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 1062 1967 1161" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)	5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)																
5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5																	
1.3.1.19	Academic/research community																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1286 1967 1518"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1286 1732 1330">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 1286 1967 1330">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1330 1732 1373">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1330 1967 1373">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1373 1732 1417">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1373 1967 1417">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1417 1732 1461">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1417 1967 1461">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1461 1732 1518">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1461 1967 1518">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (23.1%)																

5 = Sufficient	4 (30.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.5 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

1.3.1.20

Philanthropic community

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	4 (30.8%)
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.7%)
5 = Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.7 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2	

1.3.1.21

Other public and private service providers

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
5 = Sufficient	5 (38.5%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

Element Comments:

To my knowledge, the Iowa Council on Homelessness has no "written" conflict of interest policy. Nominations and appointments to the council are managed by State administration - Governor's office, so I'm unclear on the policies related to conflict of interest. The process for performance

evaluation is in its "infancy", but the intent is genuine and the structure is falling into place.

The CoC uses committees to make recommendations to the Council. When members need to reclude themselves from voting they do. The CoC represents a diverse group of stakeholders that all have a say. The Iowa Council on Homelessness will ask for annual progress reports to make sure programs are meeting standards. There is also a policy that requires program spend there money to a certain percent.

This group failed in spite of good by-laws and good intentions because it did not provide any structure for discussion, disagreement and compromise. The group as a whole had trouble identifying an appropriate project -- the landlord group was clearly more interested in funding than in keeping people in their homes; the existing shelters were interested in trying to find transitional housing for clients, but the job market is so bad that few clients were ever really self sufficient enough to be an acceptable risk for landlords. Other than receiving notification of awards for the HAP program, there is no oversight being provided by the CoC. Nor were members informed prior to the decision by Fort Dodge Housing to relinquish the Shelter + Care grant. Perhaps another group might have picked that up had there been adequate time to make the necessary arrangements, but the announcement that the program was being discontinued was made after the Iowa Council on Homelessness and specifically the Iowa Finance Authority had been informed. In fairness, were the community to have a project approved, I believe the capacity to develop these systems could be developed. The "no" answers are simply a response to the reality that this community has not written a CoC project in the last 10 years.

1.4 **Element: The CoC primary decision-making group has a formal, fair, and transparent process for governing the CoC and making decisions.**

1.4.1 The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for ensuring stakeholders have an opportunity to provide input into the decision-making process

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	2 (14.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)
4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)
5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.1 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	1 (7.1%)	Mean: 4.1 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5													
Unknown	1 (7.1%)																
Mean: 4.1 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5																	
1.4.2	<p>The CoC decision-making process is understood by all stakeholders</p> <p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>6 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (14.3%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)	4 = Agree	6 (42.9%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (14.3%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
4 = Agree	6 (42.9%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (14.3%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
1.4.3	<p>The CoC has a written conflict of interest policy</p> <p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	4 (28.6%)	No	5 (35.7%)	Unknown	5 (35.7%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	4 (28.6%)																
No	5 (35.7%)																
Unknown	5 (35.7%)																
1.4.4	<p>The CoC primary decision-making group actively seeks to prevent/address conflicts of interest</p> <p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (14.3%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	5 (35.7%)	5 = Strongly Agree	5 (35.7%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)		
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	5 (35.7%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (35.7%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																

	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>8 (80.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (10.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (10.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	8 (80.0%)	No	1 (10.0%)	Unknown	1 (10.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	8 (80.0%)																
No	1 (10.0%)																
Unknown	1 (10.0%)																
1.4.6.3	Rating/ranking criteria reflect clear linkages to CoC strategic plan and goals.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>5 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (30.0%)	4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	5 (50.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (30.0%)																
4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (50.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5																	
1.4.6.4	The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (10.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>6 (60.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (10.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	3 (30.0%)	5 = All of the Time	6 (60.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (10.0%)																
4 = Use Most of the Time	3 (30.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	6 (60.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
1.4.6.5	The process includes a formal opportunity to appeal decisions.																

	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>6 (60.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>4 (40.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	6 (60.0%)	No	4 (40.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	6 (60.0%)								
No	4 (40.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.7	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for HUD-funded CoC projects.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	7 (50.0%)	No	4 (28.6%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	7 (50.0%)								
No	4 (28.6%)								
Unknown	3 (21.4%)								
1.4.8	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for periodically monitoring and evaluating the performance of HUD funded CoC projects (apart from evaluation conducted during HUD application process).								
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>8 (57.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	8 (57.1%)	No	4 (28.6%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	8 (57.1%)								
No	4 (28.6%)								
Unknown	2 (14.3%)								
1.4.9	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for other non-HUD funded CoC projects.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	2 (14.3%)	No	7 (50.0%)	Unknown	5 (35.7%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	2 (14.3%)								
No	7 (50.0%)								
Unknown	5 (35.7%)								

1.4.10	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for periodically monitoring and evaluating the performance of other non-HUD funded CoC projects.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 263 1965 441"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 263 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1743 263 1965 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 311 1734 354">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1743 311 1965 354">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 360 1734 402">No</td> <td data-bbox="1743 360 1965 402">7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 409 1734 441">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1743 409 1965 441">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	2 (14.3%)	No	7 (50.0%)	Unknown	5 (35.7%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	2 (14.3%)								
No	7 (50.0%)								
Unknown	5 (35.7%)								
1.4.11	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for overall CoC performance.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 617 1965 795"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 617 1734 659">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1743 617 1965 659">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 665 1734 708">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1743 665 1965 708">7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 714 1734 756">No</td> <td data-bbox="1743 714 1965 756">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 763 1734 795">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1743 763 1965 795">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	7 (50.0%)	No	5 (35.7%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	7 (50.0%)								
No	5 (35.7%)								
Unknown	2 (14.3%)								
1.4.12	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for monitoring and evaluating overall CoC performance.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 971 1965 1149"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 971 1734 1013">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1743 971 1965 1013">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1019 1734 1062">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1019 1965 1062">7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1068 1734 1110">No</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1068 1965 1110">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1117 1734 1149">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1117 1965 1149">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	7 (50.0%)	No	5 (35.7%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	7 (50.0%)								
No	5 (35.7%)								
Unknown	2 (14.3%)								
1.4.12.1	The process has been approved by the CoC primary decision-making group								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1276 1965 1455"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1276 1734 1318">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1743 1276 1965 1318">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1325 1734 1367">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1325 1965 1367">6 (85.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1373 1734 1416">No</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1373 1965 1416">1 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1422 1734 1455">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1743 1422 1965 1455">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	6 (85.7%)	No	1 (14.3%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	6 (85.7%)								
No	1 (14.3%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.12.2	The process is described in writing.								

	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	Yes	4 (57.1%)
	No	1 (14.3%)
	Unknown	2 (28.6%)

1.4.12.3 The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner

	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Use Most of the Time	2 (28.6%)
	5 = All of the Time	5 (71.4%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

Element Comments:
 Working hard in this direction; still have much further to go.

HMIS, PIT and HIC data are utilized to some level within the CoC - most actively through the ESG application and monitoring processes. New processes are being planned and implemented for the CoC application and review processes, but this is in the early stages.

The CoC is very much data-driven.

Many programs submit there HMIS and HUD APRS to show performance measures. It also looks at the PIT data that is collected.

When the North Central Iowa Council on Homelessness is re-invigorated, this tools will be the primary sources of information used. There is also a rich resource of people who have been working in this area that could lend a great deal of support to this work.

1.5	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group uses data (PIT, HMIS, other) in a systematic manner to make informed decisions																
1.5.1	The CoC primary decision-making group uses performance data in the annual CoC application review process to evaluate projects and allocate resources																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 347 1967 747"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 347 1732 391">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1740 347 1967 391">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 397 1732 441">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 397 1967 441">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 448 1732 492">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 448 1967 492">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 498 1732 542">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 498 1967 542">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 548 1732 592">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 548 1967 592">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 599 1732 643">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 599 1967 643">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 649 1732 693">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1740 649 1967 693">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 699 1967 747" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)	4 = Agree	3 (21.4%)	5 = Strongly Agree	5 (35.7%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
4 = Agree	3 (21.4%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (35.7%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5																	
1.5.2	The CoC primary decision-making group uses data to inform other CoC decision-making (e.g., system design, project funding, provision of technical assistance, etc.)																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 925 1967 1321"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 925 1732 969">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1740 925 1967 969">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 976 1732 1019">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 976 1967 1019">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1026 1732 1070">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 1026 1967 1070">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1076 1732 1120">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 1076 1967 1120">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1127 1732 1170">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 1127 1967 1170">4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1177 1732 1221">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1740 1177 1967 1221">4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1227 1732 1271">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1740 1227 1967 1271">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 1278 1967 1321" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)	4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	4 (28.6%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (14.3%)																
4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (28.6%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5																	
1.5.3	The CoC primary decision-making group uses the following data sources to make decisions:																
1.5.3.1	Housing Inventory Data																

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	1 (7.1%)
2 = Use Sometimes	1 (7.1%)
3 = Neutral	2 (14.3%)
4 = Use When Relevent	2 (14.3%)
5 = Use all of the Time	6 (42.9%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	

1.5.3.2 Annual/Biennial Point In Time Data

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	1 (7.1%)
2 = Use Sometimes	1 (7.1%)
3 = Neutral	3 (21.4%)
4 = Use When Relevent	2 (14.3%)
5 = Use all of the Time	6 (42.9%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

1.5.3.3 Performance data generated from HMIS

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	0 (0.0%)
2 = Use Sometimes	1 (7.1%)
3 = Neutral	2 (14.3%)
4 = Use When Relevent	2 (14.3%)
5 = Use all of the Time	7 (50.0%)

Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
<p>Element Comments: We do have a 10-year plan, but it was created in 2004 and last updated in 2008. Needs updating to align with goals agreed to of federal Opening Doors plan.</p> <p>The 10 Year Plan of the Iowa Council is now several years old, and with new staff and a new administration, this plan is long overdue for review. However, the Iowa Council recently adopted the goals and objectives of the "Opening Doors" plan of the Inter-agency Council on Homelessness to guide their work and planning. The new planning process will include measurable goals and indicators, but this is still in the development stages.</p> <p>Probably needs to be updated!</p> <p>The only coordinated work on the issue of homelessness is being spear-headed by The Community Foundation and United Way of Fort Dodge. This group is looking specifically at homelessness among women and women with children. It is not considering any comprehensive assessment of homelessness in our community at this time.</p> <p>There is a written strategic plan in place that was setup for ten years. Recently, that has been looked at and may start to under go some changes. The CoC has just adopted the Opening Doors Plan and will start to implement that into the ten year plan. This was voted on by individuals, non profit, and government agencies.</p>	

Domain II: CoC Plan and Planning Process	
Question No.	
2.1	Element: The CoC has a strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness in the CoC and the plan provides direction for the CoC.
2.1.1	The CoC has a written strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness.

	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	7 (50.0%)	No	3 (21.4%)	Under Development	3 (21.4%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	7 (50.0%)																
No	3 (21.4%)																
Under Development	3 (21.4%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.1.1.1	The CoC strategic plan is also the community’s “Ten Year Plan” or other community-wide plan to prevent/end homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	4 (66.7%)	No	1 (16.7%)	Unknown	1 (16.7%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	4 (66.7%)																
No	1 (16.7%)																
Unknown	1 (16.7%)																
2.1.1.1.1	The CoC strategic plan and the “Ten Year Plan” or other community-wide plan to prevent/end homelessness are consistent with one another and do not have conflicting goals/objectives, etc.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
2.1.1.2	The CoC strategic plan is consistent with CoC mission/vision																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	2 (33.3%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (66.7%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

2.1.1.3 The plan covers all subpopulations (e.g., chronically homeless, severely mentally ill, Veterans, youth, etc.), rather than just certain subpopulations

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (16.7%)
4 = Agree	4 (66.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (16.7%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

2.1.1.3.1 If the plan does not cover all subpopulations, which subpopulation(s) are excluded? (check all that apply)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Chronically homeless	0 (0.0%)
Severly mentally ill	1 (100.0%)
Chronic substance abuse	0 (0.0%)
Veterans	0 (0.0%)
Persons with HIV/AIDS	1 (100.0%)
Victims of doemstic violence	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unaccompanied youth (under 18)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Unaccompanied youth (under 18)	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)												
Unaccompanied youth (under 18)	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.1.1.4	The plan is informed by review of local HMIS, PIT, and other data.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>4 (57.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (14.3%)	4 = Agree	2 (28.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	4 (57.1%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (14.3%)																
4 = Agree	2 (28.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (57.1%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
2.1.1.5	The plan incorporates best practices or evidence-based practices that successfully prevent and end homelessness																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (16.7%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (16.7%)	4 = Agree	2 (33.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (33.3%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (16.7%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (16.7%)																
4 = Agree	2 (33.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (33.3%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5																	
2.1.1.6	The plan is consistent with the Federal Strategic Plan to prevent and end homelessness (“Opening Doors”)																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (33.3%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (66.7%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

2.1.1.7 The plan incorporates local ESG/HPRP resources to accomplish CoC goals/objectives

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	1 (16.7%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (16.7%)
5 = Sufficient	4 (66.7%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

2.1.1.8 The plan includes leveraging/coordination with other HUD resources accounted for in local Consolidated Plan(s) (i.e., HOME, CDBG, NSP, and HOPWA) to accomplish CoC goals/objectives. (check all that are included in the plan)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
HOME	4 (66.7%)
CDBG	4 (66.7%)
HOPWA	3 (50.0%)
NSP	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	1 (16.7%)

2.1.1.9	The plan includes leveraging/coordination with other mainstream systems and resources (e.g. PHA, TANF, job training) to accomplish CoC goals/objectives. (check all that are included in the plan)																																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 302 968 345">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="968 302 1965 345">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 345 968 389">TANF-funded assistance</td> <td data-bbox="968 345 1965 389">5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 389 968 433">Workforce dev/Employment</td> <td data-bbox="968 389 1965 433">5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 433 968 477">Substance abuse services</td> <td data-bbox="968 433 1965 477">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 477 968 521">Veteran's Administration</td> <td data-bbox="968 477 1965 521">5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 521 968 565">Social Security Administration</td> <td data-bbox="968 521 1965 565">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 565 968 609">Child Welfare</td> <td data-bbox="968 565 1965 609">3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 609 968 652">Mental health services</td> <td data-bbox="968 609 1965 652">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 652 968 696">Local Housing Authority</td> <td data-bbox="968 652 1965 696">3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 696 968 740">SNAP/Food Stamps</td> <td data-bbox="968 696 1965 740">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 740 968 833">Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)</td> <td data-bbox="968 740 1965 833">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 833 968 876">Medicaid/Healthcare services</td> <td data-bbox="968 833 1965 876">5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 876 968 920">Corrections</td> <td data-bbox="968 876 1965 920">4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 920 968 964">Other (Identify)</td> <td data-bbox="968 920 1965 964">1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 964 968 1057"></td> <td data-bbox="968 964 1965 1057">advocacy groups to aid the homeless and impoverished sector of our society</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1057 968 1101">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="968 1057 1965 1101">1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	TANF-funded assistance	5 (83.3%)	Workforce dev/Employment	5 (83.3%)	Substance abuse services	4 (66.7%)	Veteran's Administration	5 (83.3%)	Social Security Administration	4 (66.7%)	Child Welfare	3 (50.0%)	Mental health services	4 (66.7%)	Local Housing Authority	3 (50.0%)	SNAP/Food Stamps	4 (66.7%)	Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	4 (66.7%)	Medicaid/Healthcare services	5 (83.3%)	Corrections	4 (66.7%)	Other (Identify)	1 (16.7%)		advocacy groups to aid the homeless and impoverished sector of our society	Unknown	1 (16.7%)
Rating Scale	Total																																
TANF-funded assistance	5 (83.3%)																																
Workforce dev/Employment	5 (83.3%)																																
Substance abuse services	4 (66.7%)																																
Veteran's Administration	5 (83.3%)																																
Social Security Administration	4 (66.7%)																																
Child Welfare	3 (50.0%)																																
Mental health services	4 (66.7%)																																
Local Housing Authority	3 (50.0%)																																
SNAP/Food Stamps	4 (66.7%)																																
Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	4 (66.7%)																																
Medicaid/Healthcare services	5 (83.3%)																																
Corrections	4 (66.7%)																																
Other (Identify)	1 (16.7%)																																
	advocacy groups to aid the homeless and impoverished sector of our society																																
Unknown	1 (16.7%)																																
2.1.1.10	Local discharge plans/policies established by foster care system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1273 1730 1317">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1730 1273 1965 1317">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1317 1730 1360">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1730 1317 1965 1360">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1360 1730 1404">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1730 1360 1965 1404">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1404 1730 1448">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1730 1404 1965 1448">2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1448 1730 1492">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1730 1448 1965 1492">1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (33.3%)	4 = Agree	1 (16.7%)																						
Rating Scale	Total																																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (33.3%)																																
4 = Agree	1 (16.7%)																																

5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	3 (50.0%)
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	

2.1.1.11 Local discharge plans/policies established by healthcare system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (66.7%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	2 (33.3%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.12 Local discharge plans/policies established by mental health system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (50.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (16.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	2 (33.3%)

Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																			
2.1.1.13	Local discharge plans/policies established by corrections system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																		
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>System does not have discharge plane</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (33.3%)	4 = Agree	2 (33.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	2 (33.3%)	Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (33.3%)																		
4 = Agree	2 (33.3%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	2 (33.3%)																		
Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None																			
2.1.1.14	The plan includes measurable goals, performance indicators and targets toward achieving identified goals.																		
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td style="text-align: right;">3 (42.9%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	3 (42.9%)	No	1 (14.3%)	Unknown	3 (42.9%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	3 (42.9%)																		
No	1 (14.3%)																		
Unknown	3 (42.9%)																		
2.1.1.14.1	Performance targets meet or exceed HUD’s national goals/objectives for HUD funded CoC projects																		
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2 (66.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	2 (66.7%)								
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
4 = Agree	2 (66.7%)																		

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (33.3%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4											
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (33.3%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
2.1.1.14.2	Performance indicators/targets include outcome-based targets reflecting client, project, and system change vs. activities/outputs																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (33.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (66.7%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (33.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (66.7%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
2.1.1.14.3	Performance targets are measurable																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (33.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (66.7%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (33.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (66.7%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
2.1.1.14.4	Goals and performance indicators/targets are set for the following: (check all that apply)																

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Reducing/ending chronic homelessness	3 (100.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for Veterans	3 (100.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for families, children and youth	3 (100.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for single adults	3 (100.0%)
Reducing length of time persons remain homeless	3 (100.0%)
Reducing returns homelessness	3 (100.0%)
Overall reduction in number of persons who experience homelessness	2 (66.7%)
Overall reduction in number of persons are homeless for first time	2 (66.7%)
Increasing employment for persons who experience homelessness	3 (100.0%)
Increasing income of persons who experience homelessness	3 (100.0%)
Increasing utilization and/or receipt of mainstream benefits	3 (100.0%)
Other (Identify)	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

2.1.1.15

The plan is recognized and supported by CoC stakeholders.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	4 (66.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (33.3%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

2.1.1.16

The plan is recognized and supported by local government and political leadership in the CoC.

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>4 (66.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (16.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	4 (66.7%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (16.7%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (16.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	4 (66.7%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (16.7%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
2.1.1.17	The plan includes public relations and communications strategy (i.e., strategy to produce and disseminate annual report or other public information on plan progress for education and advocacy purposes).																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.2 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (16.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (16.7%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (50.0%)	Unknown	1 (16.7%)	Mean: 4.2 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (16.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (16.7%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (50.0%)																
Unknown	1 (16.7%)																
Mean: 4.2 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>The group has currently started to talk about updating the plan and that it should be done on a yearly basis. This group exist of the Executive Committee which has a mix of public and private organizations.</p>																
2.2	Element: The CoC has an inclusive and transparent process for development of and/or periodic updating of the CoC strategic plan																

2.2.1	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for developing and/or reviewing and updating the strategic plan																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 263 1965 441"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	5 (83.3%)	No	1 (16.7%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	5 (83.3%)																
No	1 (16.7%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.2.1.1	The planning process is inclusive and involves key CoC and community stakeholders, including government representatives, business leaders, the philanthropic community, etc.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 617 1965 1016"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (60.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (20.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (20.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (60.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (20.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (20.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (60.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>CoC has an active executive council that helps move implementation and the strategic plan forward. There is also a policy committee that looks at ten year planning and how it needs to be revised.</p>																
2.3	Element: The CoC has a formal process in place to support implementation of the strategic plan.																
2.3.1	The CoC primary decision-making group has tasked a specific committee or other body with overseeing implementation of the plan.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1442 1965 1516"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	5 (83.3%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	5 (83.3%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	No	1 (16.7%)	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	N/A	0 (0.0%)				
No	1 (16.7%)										
Under Development	0 (0.0%)										
N/A	0 (0.0%)										
2.3.2	<p>There is a written action plan to support implementation of the strategic plan.</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>5 (83.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	5 (83.3%)	No	1 (16.7%)	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	N/A	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total										
Yes	5 (83.3%)										
No	1 (16.7%)										
Under Development	0 (0.0%)										
N/A	0 (0.0%)										
2.3.2.1	<p>The action plan includes specific steps and timelines.</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>5 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	5 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)		
Rating Scale	Total										
Yes	5 (100.0%)										
No	0 (0.0%)										
Unknown	0 (0.0%)										
2.3.2.2	<p>The action plan identifies responsible entities.</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>4 (80.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (20.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	4 (80.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (20.0%)		
Rating Scale	Total										
Yes	4 (80.0%)										
No	0 (0.0%)										
Unknown	1 (20.0%)										
2.3.2.3	<p>Actions taken to date are having a positive impact on achievement of CoC goals identified in the CoC strategic plan.</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total										
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)										
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)										

3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (20.0%)
4 = Agree	2 (40.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (40.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

2.3.2.4 The action plan is reviewed and updated at least annually.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Yes	3 (60.0%)
No	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	2 (40.0%)

2.3.2.5 On what date was the action plan last reviewed and updated?

Respondent Type: 1

Results:

Element Comments:

The first question here, about staff FTEs responsible for CoC admin, only allows a whole number, so I entered zero. But this is not accurate. A more accurate answer would be about .25 FTE, if just referring to pure admin functions related to the CoC governing body. If also extending this to include staff responsible for CoC admin related to grants such as ESG, HPRP, or HOPWA, the answer would be significantly more. Other questions in this section are difficult to answer because the CoC could be said to include ESG recipients, and for that, we do have adequate staffing and capacity to manage responsibilities--because ESG admin funds cover it. For SHP and S+C CoC projects, we do not receive admin funds and do not currently have sufficient capacity to meet the goals of this section.

Iowa Finance Authority and their staff have done excellent work in staffing and supporting the Iowa Council and homeless programs in Iowa. They are experienced and dedicated to the task. I do believe that they are "understaffed" in this area, although I expect this is not uncommon across the country.

The CoC needs a full-time staff person to adequately provide support. The existing staff member does an outstanding job, given her time constraints.

The CoC recently has started to look at having an appropriation put forth by the state to directly oversee CoC programming and success.

There has been no functional CoC group functioning within this area of the state for at least 15 years. All of the statements above were answered either insufficient or disagree because there is not structure to link these questions to. I like to believe that if such a group was finally organized and began to function that the process would serve, protect and advance homeless individuals in their quest for self sufficiency.

My having only been a member of CoC / Iowa Council On Homelessness for about nine months, I am unfamiliar with all of the acronyms and abbreviations, and I am unfamiliar with the scope of those entities, but I am learning through my continued participation.

Domain III: CoC Infrastructure and Administrative Capacity

Question No.										
3.1	Element: Administrative Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the administrative responsibilities of the CoC.									
3.1.1	Approximate number of CoC staff (paid or volunteer) responsible for regular administrative tasks of the CoC (estimated full-time equivalent (FTE), excluding FTE dedicated to HMIS functions).									
	Respondent Type: 1 Results: 0									
3.1.2	The CoC has sufficient staffing (paid or volunteer) to manage the regular administrative tasks of the CoC, relative to the scope and scale of CoC funding and commitments.									
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1325 1969 1502"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1325 1734 1373">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1325 1969 1373">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1373 1734 1414">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1373 1969 1414">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1414 1734 1455">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1414 1969 1455">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1455 1734 1502">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1455 1969 1502">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	3 (21.4%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (21.4%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)
Rating Scale	Total									
1 = Insufficient	3 (21.4%)									
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (21.4%)									
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)									

4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (28.6%)
5 = Sufficient	1 (7.1%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 2.8 Median: 2.5 Mode: 4	

3.1.3

CoC staff is knowledgeable of technical assistance resources and opportunities.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (14.3%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (21.4%)
5 = Sufficient	5 (35.7%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

3.1.4

The CoC has capacity, policies, and procedures in place to monitor and ensure that HUD-funded projects are operating in accordance with HUD provisions and the project design set forth in the application.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (14.3%)
3 = Neutral	2 (14.3%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	3 (21.4%)
5 = Sufficient	4 (28.6%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

3.1.5	The CoC seeks to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, HUD-funded project sponsors involve individuals and families experiencing homelessness through employment, volunteer services, or otherwise, in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 302 1965 708"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 302 1734 347">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 302 1965 347">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 347 1734 393">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 347 1965 393">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 393 1734 438">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1965 438">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 438 1734 483">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 438 1965 483">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 483 1734 529">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 483 1965 529">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 529 1734 574">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 529 1965 574">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 574 1734 620">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 574 1965 620">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 620 1965 708" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)	5 = Sufficient	3 (23.1%)	Unknown	2 (15.4%)	Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)																
5 = Sufficient	3 (23.1%)																
Unknown	2 (15.4%)																
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.1.6	The CoC seeks to ensure that CoC projects are protecting individuals and families fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence by maintaining client record confidentiality and ensuring the secrecy of domestic violence service delivery locations.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 919 1965 1325"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 919 1734 964">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 919 1965 964">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 964 1734 1010">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 964 1965 1010">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1010 1734 1055">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1010 1965 1055">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1055 1734 1101">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1055 1965 1101">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1101 1734 1146">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1101 1965 1146">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1146 1734 1192">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1146 1965 1192">7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1192 1734 1237">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1192 1965 1237">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 1237 1965 1325" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.1 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (14.3%)	5 = Sufficient	7 (50.0%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 4.1 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (14.3%)																
5 = Sufficient	7 (50.0%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 4.1 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
3.1.7	The CoC seeks to ensure that CoC projects serving families with children and unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness designate a staff person to ensure children are enrolled in school, connected to appropriate services, and placed near their school																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	6 (46.2%)	5 = Sufficient	3 (23.1%)	Unknown	2 (15.4%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	6 (46.2%)																
5 = Sufficient	3 (23.1%)																
Unknown	2 (15.4%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.1.8	The CoC primary decision-making group is aware of and understands fair housing laws.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)	4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)	5 = Strongly Agree	4 (30.8%)	Unknown	1 (7.7%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (30.8%)																
Unknown	1 (7.7%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5																	
3.1.9	The CoC seeks to assure that HUD-funded project sponsors affirmatively further fair housing by periodically reviewing project policies and protocols implemented by CoC projects.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	6 (46.2%)	No	2 (15.4%)	Unknown	5 (38.5%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	6 (46.2%)																
No	2 (15.4%)																
Unknown	5 (38.5%)																

3.1.10	CoC projects seek to affirmatively further fair housing through dissemination of fair housing information to CoC projects and project participants.								
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 261 1965 440"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1965 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 305 1734 349">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1965 349">7 (53.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 349 1734 393">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1965 393">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 393 1734 440">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1965 440">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	7 (53.8%)	No	2 (15.4%)	Unknown	4 (30.8%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	7 (53.8%)								
No	2 (15.4%)								
Unknown	4 (30.8%)								
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>Again, these questions are difficult to answer because of the distinction between SHP/S+C CoC projects and other projects in the CoC which are funded with other HUD grants. Since SHP/S+C grants in the CoC currently receive grants directly through HUD, there is limited capacity (and no funding) for oversight directly by the CoC. If there were admin funding to become a Unified Funding Agency, I believe our CoC would be very interested. I believe our CoC recognizes the need for additional capacity to perform all of the tasks in this section, and would like to do a more thorough job with all of them, but there are no funds to do so, so it is currently very difficult.</p> <p>In fact, three years ago the Fort Dodge Housing Agency dropped the shelter + care project. The rationale was that the agency was losing money. It cost too much to provide the Case Management services that were required and the individuals using the program were not achieving the outcomes sought by the program. Were our CoC to develop a project and that project were approved, the United Way of Greater Fort Dodge has tentatively agreed to act as the Coalition's fiscal agent.</p> <p>The CoC currently sees the grant request and apr's but does not ask for cash match sources etc.</p> <p>I have only been a member of CoC / Iowa Council On Homelessness for about nine months so I do not have an actual knowledge of past criteria, however, I am confident that our CoC has met all of the necessary aspects.</p>								
3.2	Element: Fiscal Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the fiscal responsibilities of the CoC.								

3.2.1	The CoC has a method for ensuring HUD-funded project sponsors have developed, implemented, and are adhering to appropriate internal fiscal control and fund accounting procedures (e.g., requests audit reports with project applications, self-certification o																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 302 1732 345">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 302 1965 345">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 345 1732 389">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 345 1965 389">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 389 1732 433">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 389 1965 433">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 433 1732 477">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 433 1965 477">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 477 1732 521">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 477 1965 521">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 521 1732 565">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 521 1965 565">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 565 1732 609">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 565 1965 609">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 609 1965 711" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	5 (35.7%)	5 = Sufficient	3 (21.4%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)	Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	5 (35.7%)																
5 = Sufficient	3 (21.4%)																
Unknown	3 (21.4%)																
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.2.2	The CoC helps support a diverse range of funding and cash/in-kind match opportunities for HUD-funded project sponsors.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 878 1732 922">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 878 1965 922">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 922 1732 966">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 922 1965 966">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 966 1732 1010">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 966 1965 1010">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1010 1732 1053">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1010 1965 1053">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1053 1732 1097">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1053 1965 1097">5 (35.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1097 1732 1141">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1097 1965 1141">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1141 1732 1185">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 1141 1965 1185">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 1185 1965 1284" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (14.3%)	3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	5 (35.7%)	5 = Sufficient	3 (21.4%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (14.3%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	5 (35.7%)																
5 = Sufficient	3 (21.4%)																
Unknown	3 (21.4%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.2.3	The CoC has a procedure in place to review and verify cash/in-kind match for HUD-funded projects.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1455 1732 1495">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 1455 1965 1495">Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (14.3%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.1%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (28.6%)
5 = Sufficient	4 (28.6%)
Unknown	3 (21.4%)
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

3.2.4 In the last three years HUD-funded project sponsors have been able to obligate/expend funds and complete projects within specified timelines (i.e., no funds have been recaptured).

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)
4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (7.7%)
Unknown	6 (46.2%)
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3,4	

3.2.5 Based on your current level of understanding, is your CoC considering asking HUD to become a Unified Funding Agency (UFA)?

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Yes	4 (28.6%)
No	3 (21.4%)
Unknown	7 (50.0%)

3.2.5.1 The CoC has or is working to identify a capable agent, consensually-agreeable to key community partners, to serve as CoC fiduciary for all projects.

	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 175 1732 219">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 175 1963 219">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 219 1732 263">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1732 219 1963 263">3 (75.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 263 1732 306">No</td> <td data-bbox="1732 263 1963 306">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 306 1732 350">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 306 1963 350">1 (25.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	3 (75.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (25.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	3 (75.0%)																		
No	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	1 (25.0%)																		
3.2.5.2	<p>The CoC has adequate capacity (staffing and other resources) to monitor HUD-funded project sponsor compliance with HUD fiscal regulations, including auditing of financial management and fund accounting procedures.</p>																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 565 1732 609">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1732 565 1963 609">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 609 1732 652">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 609 1963 652">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 652 1732 696">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 652 1963 696">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 696 1732 740">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1732 696 1963 740">1 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 740 1732 784">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 740 1963 784">1 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 784 1732 828">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1732 784 1963 828">1 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 828 1732 872">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1732 828 1963 872">1 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 872 1963 915"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 915 1963 959" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (25.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (25.0%)	5 = Sufficient	1 (25.0%)	Unknown	1 (25.0%)			Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neutral	1 (25.0%)																		
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (25.0%)																		
5 = Sufficient	1 (25.0%)																		
Unknown	1 (25.0%)																		
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																			
	<p>Element Comments: Several questions in this section require a double negative to answer, which is confusing, so answers may not be valid.</p> <p>Our emergency shelter for individuals category has fallen to 62%, due to an increase in the emergence of new faith based shelter services and also some "low performing" emergency shelters in the State were not funded through ESG and they have dropped out of HMIS participation. We are working in conjunction with the Iowa Council to develop a strategy to address this concern. All other reporting categories meet and exceed the AHAR bed coverage standard.</p> <p>We have an excellent statewide HMIS system! Data is definitely one of our strengths!</p>																		

We use the State's HMIS and do not have a choice or any knowledge as to capacity.

I am assuming that the data collected through Service POint would also be used in reporting to HUD. While there is agency competence in entering the data at the point of services, how much additional data keeping would be required is unknown.

The State of Iowa has a very strong HMIS system. It is well run and works in conjunction with the CoC. It provides great support to the programs.

As a personal opinion and not an informed knowledge that I may have, I feel that the increasing impoverishment and homelessness within our population due to technology and U.S. Congressional allowance for domestic commerce becoming foreign commerce, and the great tragedy of federal inability to fund domestic programs due to the illegal Iraq and Afganistan wars, has caused an increasing challenge to our CoC that is both unfair and in some ways is counter-product ive to our ability to perform our mission. In large part, in my opinion, the biggest problem is in the way that local organizations and advocacies are being deprived of their necessary financial ability to conduct their programs. In this way, the effect also detrimentally effects our CoC's inability to properly and sufficiently staff our own functions due to state budget cuts as well as the loss of some federal funding.

3.3 Element: Information Management Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the HMIS responsibilities of the CoC.

3.3.1 The CoC has policies and procedures in place to ensure the HMIS adheres to the HUD 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)
3 = Neutral	3 (21.4%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	8 (57.1%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

3.3.2	The CoC has policies and procedures in place to ensure the HMIS is in compliance with the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 261 1965 667"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1965 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 305 1734 349">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1965 349">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 349 1734 393">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1965 393">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 393 1734 436">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1965 436">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 436 1734 480">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 436 1965 480">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 480 1734 524">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 480 1965 524">8 (57.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 524 1734 568">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 524 1965 568">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 568 1965 612"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 612 1965 667" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neutral	3 (21.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	8 (57.1%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)			Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)																		
3 = Neutral	3 (21.4%)																		
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Sufficient	8 (57.1%)																		
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																		
Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																			
3.3.3	The CoC has written HMIS participation agreements with organizations that enter client-level data into HMIS.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 837 1965 1019"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 837 1734 881">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 837 1965 881">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 881 1734 925">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 881 1965 925">9 (64.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 925 1734 969">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 925 1965 969">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 969 1734 1013">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 969 1965 1013">3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	9 (64.3%)	No	2 (14.3%)	Unknown	3 (21.4%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	9 (64.3%)																		
No	2 (14.3%)																		
Unknown	3 (21.4%)																		
3.3.4	The CoC has policies and procedures in place to monitor and assure data quality and compliance with data standards.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1190 1965 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1190 1734 1234">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1190 1965 1234">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1234 1734 1278">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1234 1965 1278">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1278 1734 1321">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1278 1965 1321">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1321 1734 1365">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1321 1965 1365">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1365 1734 1409">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1365 1965 1409">1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1409 1734 1453">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1409 1965 1453">8 (57.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1453 1734 1497">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1453 1965 1497">2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)	3 = Neutral	2 (14.3%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.1%)	5 = Sufficient	8 (57.1%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)				
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (7.1%)																		
3 = Neutral	2 (14.3%)																		
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (7.1%)																		
5 = Sufficient	8 (57.1%)																		
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																		

Mean: 4.3 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
--	--

3.3.5 The HMIS lead agency generates regular data quality reports.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Yes	9 (64.3%)
No	1 (7.1%)
Unknown	4 (28.6%)

3.3.5.1 Which types of data quality checks are performed? (check all that apply)

Respondent Type: 1,2
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Missing data/null value rate	1 (50.0%)
Consistency with project target population	1 (50.0%)
Consistency with project capacity	1 (50.0%)
Consistency with case file records	1 (50.0%)
Unknown	1 (50.0%)

3.3.5.2 Data quality reports are generated...

Respondent Type: 1,2
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Monthly	2 (100.0%)
Quarterly	1 (50.0%)
Semi-Annually	0 (0.0%)
Annually	1 (50.0%)
Other (Identify)	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

3.3.5.3 The HMIS lead agency reports or otherwise informs the CoC lead decision-making group of data quality concerns.

	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>8 (88.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.9 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (11.1%)	5 = Strongly Agree	8 (88.9%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.9 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (11.1%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	8 (88.9%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.9 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
3.3.6	The CoC primary decision-making group provides ongoing management of and guidance to the HMIS lead agency through a data subcommittee or other formal mechanism.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>3 (21.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>6 (42.9%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)	2 = Disagree	3 (21.4%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)	4 = Agree	1 (7.1%)	5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Disagree	3 (21.4%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Agree	1 (7.1%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	6 (42.9%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5																	
3.3.7	The HMIS lead agency has adequate funding and resources (excluding staffing) to fulfill its responsibilities related to HMIS software vendor management, end user training and technical assistance, data quality, report generation, and other responsibilities																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)																

3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)
4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (28.6%)
Unknown	3 (21.4%)
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

3.3.8 The HMIS lead agency has adequate staffing to fulfill its responsibilities.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.1%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.1%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (35.7%)
Unknown	3 (21.4%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

3.3.8.1 In which area(s) does the HMIS lack adequate capacity (funding and/or staffing)? (check all that apply)

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
HMIS software vendor management	1 (100.0%)
End user training & technical assistance	1 (100.0%)
Data quality control	1 (100.0%)
Report generation	1 (100.0%)
Other responsibilities	1 (100.0%)

3.3.9 CoC participating projects understand the data collection requirements of the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>4 (40.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	4 (40.0%)	5 = All of the Time	3 (30.0%)	Unknown	3 (30.0%)	Mean: 4.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Use Most of the Time	4 (40.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	3 (30.0%)																
Unknown	3 (30.0%)																
Mean: 4.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.3.10	CoC participating projects are compliant with the data collection requirements of the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>5 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>2 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	5 (50.0%)	5 = All of the Time	2 (20.0%)	Unknown	3 (30.0%)	Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Use Most of the Time	5 (50.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	2 (20.0%)																
Unknown	3 (30.0%)																
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
3.3.11	CoC participating projects understand the Privacy and Security standards in the 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>4 (40.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.5 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	4 = Use Most of the Time	3 (30.0%)	5 = All of the Time	3 (30.0%)	Unknown	4 (40.0%)	Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.5 Mode: None									
4 = Use Most of the Time	3 (30.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	3 (30.0%)																
Unknown	4 (40.0%)																
Mean: 4.5 Median: 4.5 Mode: None																	
3.3.12	CoC participating projects adhere to the Privacy and Security standards of the 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>2 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>4 (40.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>4 (40.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	2 (20.0%)	5 = All of the Time	4 (40.0%)	Unknown	4 (40.0%)	Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Use Most of the Time	2 (20.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	4 (40.0%)																
Unknown	4 (40.0%)																
Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
3.3.13	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to APR's.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (20.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>5 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (30.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	5 (50.0%)	Unknown	3 (30.0%)	Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (50.0%)																
Unknown	3 (30.0%)																
Mean: 4.7 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
3.3.14	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to the AHAR.																

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (10.0%)
4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (40.0%)
Unknown	3 (30.0%)
Mean: 4.4 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

3.3.15

The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to the Homeless Pulse Report.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (20.0%)
4 = Agree	2 (20.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (10.0%)
Unknown	5 (50.0%)
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3,4	

3.3.16

HUD-funded CoC projects understand HUD reporting requirements related to APRs.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (10.0%)
4 = Agree	3 (30.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (30.0%)

Unknown	3 (30.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

3.3.17 Data from the HMIS is generally useful and reliable for the CoC and participating agencies.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	5 (35.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	7 (50.0%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 4.6 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

3.3.18 The HMIS can generate reports for use in monitoring and evaluating system and project performance.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)
4 = Agree	3 (21.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	8 (57.1%)
Unknown	2 (14.3%)
Mean: 4.6 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	

3.3.19 The HMIS can generate project-level HUD APRs, per HUD reporting requirements and specifications.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (10.0%)
4 = Agree	3 (30.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (40.0%)
Unknown	2 (20.0%)
Mean: 4.4 Median: 4.5 Mode: 5	

3.3.20

The HMIS can generate system level data for the AHAR, per HUD reporting specifications.

Respondent Type: 1,2

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (100.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	

3.3.21

The HMIS can generate system level data for the Homeless Pulse Report, per HUD reporting specifications.

Respondent Type: 1,2

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.22	The HMIS can generate reports that meaningfully support CoC planning and project development.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>4 (28.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>7 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (14.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)	4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	7 (50.0%)	Unknown	2 (14.3%)	Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.1%)																
4 = Agree	4 (28.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	7 (50.0%)																
Unknown	2 (14.3%)																
Mean: 4.5 Median: 5.0 Mode: 5																	
3.3.23	The CoC has 75% or more bed coverage in the HMIS for each established AHAR category (i.e., emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing).																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (50.0%)	No	1 (50.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (50.0%)																
No	1 (50.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
3.3.23.1	Which categories have less than 75% bed coverage in HMIS (presently or as of last bed coverage analysis)? (check all that apply)																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-Individuals</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (100.0%)	Emergency shelter-families	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (100.0%)																
Emergency shelter-families	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-individuals</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-individuals</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Transitional housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)	Transitional housing-families	0 (0.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-families	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Transitional housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)																		
Transitional housing-families	0 (0.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-families	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
3.3.24	Which data were accepted for inclusion in the AHAR in the most recent AHAR data collection process?																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-Individuals</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-families</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-individuals</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-families</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-individuals</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-families</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No data submitted</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (50.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (50.0%)	Emergency shelter-families	1 (50.0%)	Transitional housing-individuals	1 (50.0%)	Transitional housing-families	1 (50.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-individuals	1 (50.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-families	1 (50.0%)	No data submitted	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (50.0%)
Rating Scale	Total																		
Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (50.0%)																		
Emergency shelter-families	1 (50.0%)																		
Transitional housing-individuals	1 (50.0%)																		
Transitional housing-families	1 (50.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-individuals	1 (50.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-families	1 (50.0%)																		
No data submitted	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	1 (50.0%)																		
3.3.25	The CoC has maintained the same HMIS software since initial HMIS implementation or two years (which ever is longer).																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,4 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (50.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	3 (50.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	3 (50.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	3 (50.0%)																		
No	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	3 (50.0%)																		
3.3.26	The CoC currently has no plans to change HMIS software.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,4 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>2 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (16.7%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	2 (33.3%)	No	1 (16.7%)												
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	2 (33.3%)																		
No	1 (16.7%)																		

	Unknown	3 (50.0%)
3.3.27	The CoC has utilized the same HMIS lead agency since initial HMIS implementation or two years (which ever is longer).	
	Respondent Type: 1,2,4	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	Yes	4 (66.7%)
	No	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	2 (33.3%)
3.3.28	The CoC currently has no plans to change the HMIS lead agency.	
	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	Yes	7 (50.0%)
	No	3 (21.4%)
	Unknown	4 (28.6%)
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>Currently, HPRP provides a sufficient amount of HP assistance in many areas of the state. But as these programs wind down, this will change. ESG and Coordinated Intake will help. When looking at a Balance of State region, very difficult or impossible to generalize these kinds of responses when so much varies by community and by provider.</p> <p>Because we have a statewide continuum, it is difficult to respond to some of these questions. I'm not always aware of what is happening elsewhere in the state.</p> <p>Our HPRP funds are expended.</p> <p>Due to lack of private funding, one homeless shelter closed in October 2010. Due to the cash match required to receive a new projects grant, one homeless provider was unable to expand its homelessness program to provide permanent, safe, affordable housing in a rural county in Southeast Iowa. Due to the local economy in rural Iowa, it is difficult to find ongoing financial support for homelessness programs. Our local planning group continues to seek other sources of funding. Our domestic violence shelters are the only true homeless providers in our area. There is a true lack of shelter available for single men and families in our area. Most of the</p>	

homeless services that are provided are county specific (must be a resident of the county) and are only for temporary housing.

Services are fragmented and spotty. There are no services for intact families, whether homeless or at risk of becoming homeless. Services for men, women and women with children are available, but probably inadequate if every near homeless person the service area were to need assistance. Agencies struggle to provide more services with less money every year.

The aggravation of increased economic assaults on general employment opportunities in our society by technology and of our U.S. Congressional support of converting domestic employment to foreign employment has made the scope of providing an availability of services become increasingly problematic, and the idea of a "safety net" has long since become facetious. There are exponentially more persons-in-need than there are adequate services and funding. For the first time "white collar" homeless and impoverished persons have become noticeable in our society. In many cases, as one mental health practitioner of NAMI told me, "What good do those volumes of government regulations and instructions on my shelf do me when I do not have the funding to implement them?"

Domain IV: CoC Housing and Services											
Question No.											
4.1	Element: The housing and services available in the community(ies) served by the CoC are accessible by persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness and are sufficient and effective at preventing and ending homelessness.										
4.1.1	Targeted homelessness prevention assistance is available across the CoC for persons at-risk of literal homelessness.										
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">1 = Insufficient</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">3 = Neutral</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td style="background-color: #ADD8E6;">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)	3 = Neutral	4 (30.8%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)
Rating Scale	Total										
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)										
2 = Somewhat insufficient	2 (15.4%)										
3 = Neutral	4 (30.8%)										
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)										

5 = Sufficient	1 (7.7%)
Unknown	2 (15.4%)
Mean: 3.4 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3,4	

4.1.1.1 Additional targeted homelessness prevention resources are:

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Under Development	5 (50.0%)
Planned	2 (20.0%)
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	2 (20.0%)
Not Planned	1 (10.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

4.1.1.2 Homelessness prevention resources are targeted to persons most at-risk of literal homelessness.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (36.4%)
4 = Agree	4 (36.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3,4	

4.1.1.3 Persons most at-risk of literal homelessness can easily navigate and access homelessness prevention assistance across the CoC.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (18.2%)
2 = Disagree	4 (36.4%)

3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)
4 = Agree	1 (9.1%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)
Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Mean: 2.5 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2	

4.1.1.4 Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at linking persons to community-based and mainstream resources.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (9.1%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (45.5%)
4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (27.3%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.6 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	

4.1.1.5 Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at helping persons to maintain or obtain permanent housing.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	4 (36.4%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)
4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)
Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2	

4.1.1.6	Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at preventing literal homelessness for persons served.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 261 1967 667"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1967 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 305 1734 349">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1967 349">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 349 1734 393">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1967 393">4 (36.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 393 1734 436">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1967 436">2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 436 1734 480">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 436 1967 480">3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 480 1734 524">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 480 1967 524">1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 524 1734 568">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 524 1967 568">1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 568 1967 612"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 612 1967 667" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	4 (36.4%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)	4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)			Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	4 (36.4%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)																		
4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)																		
Unknown	1 (9.1%)																		
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2																			
4.1.2	Local discharge practices of the foster care system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 837 1967 1243"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 837 1734 881">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 837 1967 881">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 881 1734 925">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 881 1967 925">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 925 1734 969">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 925 1967 969">4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 969 1734 1013">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 969 1967 1013">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1013 1734 1057">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1013 1967 1057">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1057 1734 1101">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1057 1967 1101">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1101 1734 1144">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1101 1967 1144">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 1144 1967 1188"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 1188 1967 1243" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)	2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)	Unknown	3 (23.1%)			Mean: 3.0 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)																		
2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)																		
Unknown	3 (23.1%)																		
Mean: 3.0 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2																			
4.1.3	Local discharge practices of the healthcare system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1414 1967 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1414 1734 1458">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1414 1967 1458">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1458 1734 1498">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1458 1967 1498">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		

2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (30.8%)
4 = Agree	1 (7.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	4 (30.8%)
Mean: 2.7 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,3	

4.1.4 Local discharge practices of the mental health system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (23.1%)
4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	3 (23.1%)
Mean: 2.6 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2	

4.1.5 Local discharge practices of the corrections system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)
4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	4 (30.8%)

Mean: 2.6 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2

4.1.6

Temporary shelter (i.e., emergency shelter and facility-based transitional housing) is available within the CoC for persons with no other appropriate temporary or permanent housing options.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)
3 = Neutral	1 (7.7%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	5 (38.5%)
5 = Sufficient	2 (15.4%)
Unknown	1 (7.7%)
Mean: 3.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.1.6.1

Additional temporary shelter is:

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Under Development	2 (20.0%)
Planned	1 (10.0%)
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	2 (20.0%)
Not Planned	3 (30.0%)
Unknown	2 (20.0%)

4.1.6.2

Persons experiencing homelessness can easily navigate and access temporary shelter across the CoC.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (45.5%)
4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3											
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.1.6.3	Temporary shelter providers assess persons requesting shelter to first determine whether other appropriate temporary or permanent housing options are available.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3,4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)	4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)	Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3,4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)																
4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)																
Unknown	1 (9.1%)																
Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3,4																	
4.1.6.4	Temporary shelter providers divert persons with other appropriate temporary/permanent housing options to homelessness prevention and/or other community resources.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>4 (36.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (36.4%)	4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)	Unknown	1 (9.1%)	Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (36.4%)																
4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)																
Unknown	1 (9.1%)																
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.1.6.5	Temporary shelter is decent, safe and sanitary.																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>7 (63.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)	4 = Agree	7 (63.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
4 = Agree	7 (63.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.1.6.6	Temporary shelter providers ensure all persons assisted have a housing goal plan as soon as possible after admission.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.6 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (9.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)	4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)	Unknown	3 (27.3%)	Mean: 3.6 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (9.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)																
4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)																
Unknown	3 (27.3%)																
Mean: 3.6 Median: 3.5 Mode: 3																	
4.1.6.7	Temporary shelter providers seek to move assisted persons to permanent housing as quickly as possible.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)																

4 = Agree	3 (27.3%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.4 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,3,4	

4.1.6.8 Temporary shelter providers are effective at linking persons who are homeless to permanent housing options and resources (either directly or via a housing search/placement provider).

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (9.1%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)
4 = Agree	4 (36.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (18.2%)
Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.1.6.9 Transitional housing (facility-based) providers only serve persons who cannot otherwise be more appropriately assisted with transitional services in permanent housing.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)
4 = Agree	4 (36.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)
Unknown	1 (9.1%)
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4	

4.1.7	Re-housing and housing stabilization assistance is available within the CoC for persons experiencing homelessness.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 261 1969 667"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1969 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 305 1734 349">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1969 349">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 349 1734 393">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1969 393">3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 393 1734 436">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1969 436">2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 436 1734 480">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 436 1969 480">6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 480 1734 524">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 480 1969 524">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 524 1734 568">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 524 1969 568">1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 568 1969 612"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 612 1969 667" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	6 (46.2%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (7.7%)			Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Insufficient	1 (7.7%)																		
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)																		
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)																		
4 = Mostly Sufficient	6 (46.2%)																		
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	1 (7.7%)																		
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4																			
4.1.7.1	Additional re-housing and stabilization resources are:																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 797 1969 1068"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 797 1734 841">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 797 1969 841">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 841 1734 885">Under Development</td> <td data-bbox="1734 841 1969 885">4 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 885 1734 928">Planned</td> <td data-bbox="1734 885 1969 928">2 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 928 1734 972">Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td data-bbox="1734 928 1969 972">3 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 972 1734 1016">Not Planned</td> <td data-bbox="1734 972 1969 1016">2 (16.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1016 1734 1060">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1016 1969 1060">1 (8.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	4 (33.3%)	Planned	2 (16.7%)	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	3 (25.0%)	Not Planned	2 (16.7%)	Unknown	1 (8.3%)						
Rating Scale	Total																		
Under Development	4 (33.3%)																		
Planned	2 (16.7%)																		
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	3 (25.0%)																		
Not Planned	2 (16.7%)																		
Unknown	1 (8.3%)																		
4.1.7.2	Persons experiencing homelessness can easily navigate and access a range of re-housing and housing stabilization assistance across the CoC.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1235 1969 1505"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1235 1734 1279">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1235 1969 1279">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1279 1734 1323">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1279 1969 1323">2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1323 1734 1367">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1323 1969 1367">2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1367 1734 1411">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1367 1969 1411">3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1411 1734 1455">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1411 1969 1455">2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1455 1734 1498">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1455 1969 1498">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (18.2%)	2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)	4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (18.2%)																		
2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (27.3%)																		
4 = Agree	2 (18.2%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.6 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	2 (18.2%)	Mean: 2.6 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3													
Unknown	2 (18.2%)																
Mean: 2.6 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.1.7.3	Re-housing and housing stabilization assistance providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (45.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)	4 = Agree	5 (45.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	3 (27.3%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
4 = Agree	5 (45.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (9.1%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.1.7.4	Re-housing and stabilization providers are effective at linking persons to permanent housing options.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>6 (54.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (9.1%)	2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)	4 = Agree	6 (54.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (9.1%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (18.2%)																
4 = Agree	6 (54.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.1.7.5	Re-housing and stabilization providers are effective at ending homelessness for persons served.																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>5 (45.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (9.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (45.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	5 (45.5%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (9.1%)	4 = Agree	5 (45.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	5 (45.5%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (9.1%)																
4 = Agree	5 (45.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4																	
4.1.8	<p>Permanent supportive housing (PSH) is available within the CoC for persons who are homeless, disabled and for whom PSH is the most appropriate housing option.</p>																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.8 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	6 (46.2%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (7.7%)	Mean: 2.8 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	6 (46.2%)																
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	1 (7.7%)																
Mean: 2.8 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2																	
4.1.8.1	<p>Additional permanent supportive housing for persons who are homeless and disabled is:</p>																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>3 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td>4 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned</td> <td>3 (25.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	3 (25.0%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	4 (33.3%)	Not Planned	3 (25.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
Under Development	3 (25.0%)																
Planned	0 (0.0%)																
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	4 (33.3%)																
Not Planned	3 (25.0%)																

	Unknown	2 (16.7%)
4.1.8.2	Persons who are homeless and disabled can easily navigate and access permanent supportive housing across the CoC.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (8.3%)
	2 = Disagree	3 (25.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (33.3%)
	4 = Agree	2 (16.7%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	2 (16.7%)
	Mean: 2.7 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	
4.1.8.3	PSH providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (8.3%)
	2 = Disagree	1 (8.3%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (16.7%)
	4 = Agree	4 (33.3%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (25.0%)
	Unknown	1 (8.3%)
	Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
4.1.8.4	PSH providers utilize Medicaid to pay for Medicaid eligible services for residents receiving Medicaid benefits.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (8.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (8.3%)
4 = Agree	3 (25.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (25.0%)
Unknown	4 (33.3%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

4.1.8.5 PSH providers partner with local PHA(s) around specific PSH projects (e.g., providing preferences and/or subsidy set-asides for homeless persons, allocating public housing units for PSH use, etc.).

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (8.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (16.7%)
4 = Agree	2 (16.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	7 (58.3%)
Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3,4	

4.1.8.6 PSH providers are effective at assisting PSH residents to move to more independent housing, when desired and appropriate.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	2 (16.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (16.7%)
4 = Agree	2 (16.7%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (25.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (25.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	3 (25.0%)	Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5													
Unknown	3 (25.0%)																
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5																	
4.1.9	Street outreach is available within the CoC for persons who are homeless and have difficulty accessing services and housing.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.7 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)	3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	2 (15.4%)	Mean: 2.7 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	2 (15.4%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (23.1%)																
3 = Neutral	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	4 (30.8%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	2 (15.4%)																
Mean: 2.7 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4																	
4.1.9.1	Additional or improved street outreach services are:																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td>2 (18.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned</td> <td>4 (36.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (27.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	2 (18.2%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	2 (18.2%)	Not Planned	4 (36.4%)	Unknown	3 (27.3%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
Under Development	2 (18.2%)																
Planned	0 (0.0%)																
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	2 (18.2%)																
Not Planned	4 (36.4%)																
Unknown	3 (27.3%)																
4.1.9.2	Street outreach providers are effective at finding and engaging persons who are literally homeless.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)																

3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (11.1%)
4 = Agree	5 (55.6%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (11.1%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.1.9.3 Street outreach providers ensure all persons assisted have a housing goal plan as soon as possible after contact and engagement.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	3 (33.3%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (11.1%)
4 = Agree	2 (22.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	3 (33.3%)
Mean: 2.8 Median: 2.5 Mode: 2	

4.1.9.4 Street outreach providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	3 (33.3%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (22.2%)
Unknown	2 (22.2%)
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.1.9.5	Street outreach providers are effective at linking persons to temporary and permanent housing options in the community.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 261 1969 667"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (55.6%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	5 (55.6%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (11.1%)	Unknown	1 (11.1%)	Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	5 (55.6%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (11.1%)																
Unknown	1 (11.1%)																
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.1.9.6	Street outreach providers are effective at ending homelessness for persons served.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 797 1969 1203"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (22.2%)	4 = Agree	3 (33.3%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	2 (22.2%)	Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
4 = Agree	3 (33.3%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	2 (22.2%)																
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 4																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>A Coordinated Intake system should help, which we are working on. But for these questions, extremely difficult for a Balance of State. Every community has very different processes and capacity right now.</p> <p>Generally, in Southeast Iowa, our Community Action Agency services an "initial point of contact" for persons needing assistance. Assistance can be utility assistance, LIHEAP, food/clothing referrals or seeking shelter. There is no one central location where people can come and be</p>																

referred to local community resources. Many times people are not assisted simply because they are not referred by other service providers.

The processes listed above occur between cooperating and collaborating agencies, but since the CoC doesn't really function well, it doesn't happen. However, since it appears to happen informally, I am encouraged that were a functional CoC to become established, this process would actually work.

It is difficult to answer some of these questions, because of the alienating nature of employment by employers from people's needs as employees. The criteria has increasingly been one of employment requiring selfless obedience to disassociate social needs increasingly oriented to technological tyranny and artificially-enhanced economic competition in this free-for-all globalizing economy. The nature of domestic concerns has been rapidly deteriorating to a social/economic form of commercial communism in the face of exponential population growth around the world.

4.2 **Element: The CoC functions as an integrated system of housing and services.**

4.2.1 CoC projects have written interagency procedures for making streamlined, effective referrals and documenting the referrals in case files.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	4 (30.8%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)
4 = Agree	3 (23.1%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)
Unknown	3 (23.1%)
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.5 Mode: 2	

4.2.2 CoC projects have written procedures for sharing client-level information and coordinating case management and/or client-level services across projects, services, and funding streams.

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 2,4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)	2 = Disagree	3 (23.1%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)	4 = Agree	3 (23.1%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)	Unknown	3 (23.1%)	Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 2,4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
2 = Disagree	3 (23.1%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
4 = Agree	3 (23.1%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)																
Unknown	3 (23.1%)																
Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 2,4																	
4.2.3	CoC projects effectively coordinate with mainstream systems and resources (e.g., TANF agency, school system, etc.) to identify and link persons/families experiencing a housing crisis to emergency housing assistance (i.e., homelessness prevention assistance)																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	2 (15.4%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)	Unknown	1 (7.7%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (15.4%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)																
Unknown	1 (7.7%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.2.3.1	Which mainstream systems and resources are the CoC not coordinating with effectively to identify and link persons/families experiencing a housing crisis to emergency housing assistance (i.e., homelessness prevention assistance or temporary shelter, as app																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>TANF-funded assistance</td> <td>3 (33.3%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	TANF-funded assistance	3 (33.3%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
TANF-funded assistance	3 (33.3%)																

Workforce dev/Employment	2 (22.2%)
Substance abuse services	4 (44.4%)
Veteran's Administration	1 (11.1%)
Social Security Administration	2 (22.2%)
Child Welfare	1 (11.1%)
Mental health services	3 (33.3%)
Local Housing Authority	2 (22.2%)
Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	1 (11.1%)
Healthcare services	1 (11.1%)
SNAP/Food Stamps	2 (22.2%)
Corrections	0 (0.0%)
Other (Identify)	2 (22.2%)
	Faith Based Organizations and other non-profit organizations local community advocacy individuals and groups not associated mainstream with CoC
Unknown	4 (44.4%)

4.2.3.2

The CoC has a central point of contact/triage for persons experiencing a housing crisis.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (22.2%)
2 = Disagree	4 (44.4%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (22.2%)
4 = Agree	1 (11.1%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 2.2 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2

4.2.3.3	The CoC uses a vulnerability assessment or similar assessment tool/process to identify and prioritize persons who are homeless and disabled for permanent supportive housing and/or other appropriate assistance.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 302 1969 711"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.5 Median: 2.5 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (22.2%)	2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (22.2%)	4 = Agree	2 (22.2%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (11.1%)	Mean: 2.5 Median: 2.5 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
2 = Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (22.2%)																
4 = Agree	2 (22.2%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	1 (11.1%)																
Mean: 2.5 Median: 2.5 Mode: None																	
4.2.4	The CoC has an affordable housing database and/or housing locator staff to assist persons across CoC projects with housing search and placement, landlord/tenant mediation, etc.,																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 878 1969 1284"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>3 (33.3%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>2 (22.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (11.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.4 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	2 (22.2%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (33.3%)	3 = Neutral	1 (11.1%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (22.2%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (11.1%)	Mean: 2.4 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	2 (22.2%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	3 (33.3%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (11.1%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	2 (22.2%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	1 (11.1%)																
Mean: 2.4 Median: 2.0 Mode: 2																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>SOAR should help with SSI/SSDI access. Simply not enough housing vouchers or affordable housing to meet needs.</p> <p>I am not sure individuals would have ready access to procedures for doing all these activities, but I am confident that those who sought assistance would be able to find someone to help them.</p>																

It is difficult for most individuals to access services simply because they are not aware of what services are available in the local area. It comes back to the "initial point of contact" to make referrals to various programs/services that will be beneficial to the client seeking services.

People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community do not have general access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community, because there is no direct reference, such as a listing in community telephone directory listings for assistance with homelessness or poverty. In fact, if this was properly administrated, I feel the system would become inundated with pleas for help. For instance, as was reported in one of the local newspapers about six years ago, one survey in 2004 by an advocacy group who KNEW HOW TO DISCOVER PERSONS WHO WERE HOMELESS found that in Johnson County alone in 2004, there were more than TWO THOUSAND homeless in our county alone, yet we have only one shelter with 77 beds! This is how much of a war against employment that commerce is waging on our society, who regard employee expense as an extortion on commercial profits. Yet, who buys the products in our society except for employed persons earning a decent pay-cheque above minimum "substandard" wage criteria? That is what maintains our economy, people having and maintaining employment, not employment streamlining to eliminate wage-earning employees so that fewer employees do more work than many employees, and that is the problem, as President Franklin Roosevelt so adequately addressed, in which he said, if we increase employment then the economy will flourish, and that was his plan with The New Deal. Also, there is no such thing as "food stamps" which ended over 60 years ago, and, the food coupon ended in 2004. I wish we would correct our fickle lexicon.

4.3 **Element: People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community have access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community.**

4.3.1 CoC projects systematically assess persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness for potential referral to community-based services and mainstream resources.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)
4 = Agree	7 (53.8%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)	Unknown	1 (7.7%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4											
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)																
Unknown	1 (7.7%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.3.2	Persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness can easily navigate and access (as needed and appropriate):																
4.3.2.1	Employment training/work supports																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (15.4%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (30.8%)	4 = Agree	6 (46.2%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	2 (15.4%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (30.8%)																
4 = Agree	6 (46.2%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.2 Median: 3.5 Mode: 4																	
4.3.2.2	TANF assistance																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3,4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3,4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3,4																	
4.3.2.3	Food Stamps/Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP)																

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (30.8%)
4 = Agree	3 (23.1%)
5 = Strongly Agree	5 (38.5%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.1 Median: 4.0 Mode: 5	

4.3.2.4

Medicaid

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)
4 = Agree	6 (46.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (7.7%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.7 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.3.2.5

Social Security Administration assistance (SSI, SSDI, etc.)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	3 (23.1%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	4 (30.8%)
4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)
5 = Strongly Agree	2 (15.4%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.1 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.3.2.6	<p>Veterans Affairs (VA) general assistance (Medical Benefits, Cash Assistance)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.3.2.7	<p>VA targeted assistance for the homeless (VASH, VA Per Diem)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)	4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)	5 = Strongly Agree	4 (30.8%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)																
4 = Agree	2 (15.4%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (30.8%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3																	
4.3.2.8	<p>Other state/locally funded services for Veterans</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)
4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)
5 = Strongly Agree	4 (30.8%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.3 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4,5	

4.3.2.9 Local Housing Authority(ies) rental assistance (Housing Choice Vouchers, Public Housing, etc.)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)
4 = Agree	8 (61.5%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.4 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.3.2.10 Other permanent affordable housing (subsidized or unsubsidized)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (7.7%)
2 = Disagree	2 (15.4%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)
4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	

4.3.2.11 Healthcare for the homeless services

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>6 (46.2%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	6 (46.2%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	6 (46.2%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.3.2.12	Other healthcare services																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>8 (61.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>4 (30.8%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	8 (61.5%)	4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	8 (61.5%)																
4 = Agree	4 (30.8%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.3 Median: 3.0 Mode: 3																	
4.3.2.13	Mental health services																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>2 (15.4%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	5 (38.5%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	5 (38.5%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: 2,4																	
4.3.2.14	<p>Substance abuse treatment services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (7.7%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>3 (23.1%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>8 (61.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (23.1%)	4 = Agree	8 (61.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (23.1%)																
4 = Agree	8 (61.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.6 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4																	
4.3.2.15	<p>Youth services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>5 (38.5%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)	4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	5 (38.5%)																
4 = Agree	5 (38.5%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.5 Median: 3.5 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.16	<p>Domestic violence services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	7 (53.8%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.1 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

Element Comments:
I believe that there would be a learning curve in implementing best practices. I also believe that meeting performance goals might take some time, but that goals and objectives would be attainable within a reasonable amount of time.

Although hobbled by the current political and economic crises, the knowledge-base and capability within our CoC remains extremely high. All that is needed is a realistically-domestic-oriented federal mandate that at present for the past ten years has been prohibited from being pursued.

4.4 **Element: The CoC as a whole has sufficient knowledge and capacity to provide housing and services.**

4.4.1 Agencies in the community have sufficient knowledge and capacity to develop and operate services and housing for homeless persons.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	2 (15.4%)
4 = Agree	6 (46.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)
Unknown	1 (7.7%)
Mean: 3.9 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	

4.4.2 CoC projects use nationally recognized best practice models and evidence-based practices to provide effective services and housing for homeless persons or persons at-risk of homelessness.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (7.7%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	3 (23.1%)
4 = Agree	3 (23.1%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)
Unknown	3 (23.1%)
Mean: 3.8 Median: 4.0 Mode: 3,4,5	

4.4.3

CoC projects typically meet or exceed HUD's national performance goals/objectives and local goals/objectives.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (7.7%)
4 = Agree	6 (46.2%)
5 = Strongly Agree	3 (23.1%)
Unknown	3 (23.1%)
Mean: 4.2 Median: 4.0 Mode: 4	